

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/662,454	YANAGI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Maria B. Marvich, PhD	1633

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) Maria B. Marvich, PhD.

(3) _____

(2) Nancy Vensko.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon reconsideration at a patentability conference, claims 64-66 were found to comprise unenabled embodiments. The claims read on prevention and treatment of hepatitis C virus infection. Applicant was contacted but no amendments to the claims could be agreed upon.